

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,917	10/14/2003	Ken M. Stedman	Stedma.K-01	7065
22197	7590 11/10/2005		EXAMINER	
GENE SCOTT; PATENT LAW & VENTURE GROUP			LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M	
3140 RED HILL AVENUE SUITE 150		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
COSTA MESA	COSTA MESA, CA 92626-3440		1724	
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/685,917	STEDMAN KEN M			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	STEDMAN, KEN M. Art Unit			
	Frank M. Lawrence	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
This application is abandoned in view of:		•			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on not constitute a proper reply under 3				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	mendment which places the			
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6	ute a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below).	empt at a proper reply, to the non-			
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.					
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-88) (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	35). s received on (with a Certification	eate of Mailing or Transmission dated			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	ired by, and within the three-month լ	period set in, the Notice of			
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	attorney or agent of record, the ass	signee of the entire interest, or all of			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR			
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim 	ns.				
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:	Fran 11	le laurence -7-05			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw	w the holding of chandenment under 27 (Frank M. Lawrence Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1724			
ninimize any negative effects on natent term	w the holding of abandonment under 37 t	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to			

minimize any negative ef U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)